

## REMARKS

### I. Office Action Summary

Claims 12-17 are pending. Claim 12 is the independent claim. In the Office Action mailed September 27, 2004, the Examiner rejected the claims for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 3 and 4 of U.S. 6,658,100.

### II. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's rejection of claims 12-17 for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 3 and 4 of U.S. 6,658,100. In order to obviate this rejection and expedite issuance of these pending claims, however, Applicant encloses a terminal disclaimer and certificate under 37 CFR § 3.73(b) executed by the assignee.

Applicant also notes that the Examiner's specific comments regarding differences between the claims of the '100 patent and the "claimed invention" are only relevant to pending claims 15 - 17 as the feature of a mobile phone (claims 15-16), or a World Wide Web page displayed on a mobile phone (claim 17), is not present in the remaining claims.

### III. Conclusion

With the above, Applicant submits that claims 12-17 are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Kent E. Genin  
Registration No. 37,834  
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610  
(312) 321-4200